



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/590,585	06/08/2000	Simon J. Mantell	PC10334A	1013	
23913	7590 04/06/2004		EXAM	INER	
	PFIZER INC		MCINTOSH II	MCINTOSH III, TRAVISS C	
150 EAST 42ND STREET 5TH FLOOR - STOP 49		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK	, NY 10017-5612		1623	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		·	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office A # O	09/590,	585	MANTELL ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit		
			C McIntosh	1623		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) a period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no entire the station. days, a reply within the station will apply and the particle cause the autory period will apply and the statistic cause the autory period will apply and the statistic cause the autory period will apply statistic cause the autory period will be statistically apply and the statistical autory and the statistical autory and the statistical autory are statistically apply and the statistical autory are statistically apply and the statistical autory are statistically autory and the statistical autory are statistically autory and the statistical autory are statistically autory and autory are statistically autory are statistically autory and autory autory are statistically autory and autory auto	event, however, may a reply atutory minimum of thirty (3 will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	by be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  6) from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 04 December	2003.			
	a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition fo			, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte</i> C	uayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)[🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 30</u> is/are pending in	the application				
	ta) Of the above claim(s) is/are		onsideration			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William and Milliam Co				
	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 30</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement			
	on Papers		oqui omoni.			
	-	_				
	The specification is objected to by the E					
10)[_]	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	)∐ accepted or b	)∐ objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection					
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)[_]	he oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. N	ote the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All  b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	foreign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority do</li> </ol>	cuments have bee	en received.			
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority do</li></ol>	cuments have bee	en received in Appli	cation No		
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the certifi					
	application from the International			J		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the cert	ified copies not rece	eived.		
Attachment(			_	,		
)   Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	040)	4) Interview Summ			
) 🔀 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/29/03</u> .	-948) D/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Tra		Office Action Summa	nv	Part of Danor No. // Laura danor		
320 (116		Onice Action Summa	гу	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 03292004		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The Examiner of the U.S. Patent application SN 09/590,585 has changed. In order to expedite the correlation of papers with the application please direct all future correspondence to the Technology Center 1600, Art Unit 1623, attn: Examiner Traviss McIntosh.

The Amendment filed December 4, 2003 has been received, entered into the record, and carefully considered. The following information provided in the amendment affects the instant application by:

The specification has been amended to correct the dependency.

Remarks drawn to rejections of Office Action mailed June 2, 2003 include:

Obvious Type Double Patenting Rejections: one of which has been overcome by the filing of a Terminal Disclaimer, the other of which is maintained for reasons of record.

An action on the merits of claims 1-18 and 30 is contained herein below. The text of those sections of Title 35, US Code which are not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1623

## Double Patenting

The rejection of claims 1-17 and 30 as being rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 63 of U.S. Patent No. 09/874,007. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because specifically, claim 63 of copending application '007 is directed to adenosine derivatives, wherein X can be a C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> alkylene, R<sup>2</sup> can be H or C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alkyl, R<sup>15</sup> can be H or C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alkyl, and R<sup>5</sup> can be CH<sub>2</sub>OH. Therefor, the specific sub-generic compound of claim 63 of the copending '007 application overlaps with the generic compound of claim 1 of the instant application. Moreover, all of the limitations recited in dependent claims 2-17 of the '007 application are within the limitations of the sub-generic compound of claim 63 of the '007 application.

Further, claim 30 of the instant application is directed to a process for the preparation of the compounds using standard synthetic manipulations. While the claims of copending '007 are silent to methods of preparation, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the compounds of claim 63 in any well known method of the art. Substitution on an aromatic ring, deprotection of a sugar, and condensation of a carboxylic acid to form an amide are well known to a skilled artisan in the field of organic synthesis, and are considered well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

It is noted that applicants did not argue this rejection, and stated that they request the rejection to be held in abeyance pending allowance of the subject matter in the '007 application. The examiner would like to note that a notice of allowance for the '007 application was mailed out on 7/28/2003.

Art Unit: 1623

Claim 18 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-12 of copending application 10/307,727 in view of Ashurst et al. (US Patent 6,143,277).

Claim 18 is drawn to a composition comprising the compound of claim 1 and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, diluent, or excipient. It is noted that claim 18 uses open claim language, and thus, additional agents are not excluded from the instantly claimed composition.

Claims 7-12 of copending application '727 are drawn to compositions comprising the same compound (of formula I) and an additional agent (an adrenergic β2 receptor agonist such as salmeterol or formoterol) and a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient, carrier, or diluent.

Ashurst et al. is cited to show that inhalers comprising salmeterol (a known adrenergic β2 receptor agonist) in combination with one or more pharmacologically active agents and excipients (abstract) are known in the art. Ashurst et al. teaches that the other active agents can be anti-inflammatory agents, analgesic agents, or other respiratory drugs (column 2, lines 47-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the compound of formula I to the salmeterol inhaler of Ashurst et al. because Ashurst et al. teach that other anti-inflammatory agents can be added to their composition/inhaler. It is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose. The idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art. *In re Kerkhoven*, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980). See

Art Unit: 1623

also *In re Crockett*, 279 F.2d 274, 126 USPQ 186 (CCPA 1960) and Ex parte Quadranti, 25 USPQ2d 1071 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992). In the instant case, the instant application teaches that the compositions comprising the compound of formula I of claim 1 are effective as an anti-inflammatory agent and in the treatment of disorders such as asthma. Ashurst et al. teach that salmeterol is an art recognized asthma treatment medicament delivered in aerosol form (column 2, lines 40-46) and is effective when combined with other anti-inflammatory agents in an inhaler (abstract). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the art recognized agents, specifically the composition of claim 18 of the instant application and an adrenergic  $\beta$ 2 receptor agonist (as salmeterol is known in the art to be an adrenergic  $\beta$ 2 receptor agonist), to form a new composition as in claims 7-12 of '727 which will be used for the very same purpose, with these references before them. One would have been motivated to combine these agents to form a new composition which would be used for the very same purpose.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traviss C McIntosh whose telephone number is 571-272-0657. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1623

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Traviss C. McIntosh III March 29, 2004 James O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1623